

# Notice of Allowability

Application No.

09/719,589

Examiner

Michael J. Moore, Jr.

Applicant(s)

MUHONEN ET AL.

Art Unit

2666

## -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 2/3/2005.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 2,4-9,12-16,18-21 and 24-27 (renumbered 1-20).
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 14 December 2000 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some\*    c) ☐ None    of the:
    1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
  6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
    - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
      - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
    - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

### Attachment(s)

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),  
Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit  
of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413),  
Paper No./Mail Date 5/19/05
7. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

### EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Christophe Lair (Reg. No. 54,248) on 5/19/2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim **12**, on line 13, replace phrase "the result" with --a result--.

In claim **12**, on line 16, replace phrase "said indication" with --an indication--.

In claim **12**, on line 18, delete the word "the" before the word "instructions".

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

2. Claims **2, 4-9, 12-16, 18-21, and 24-27** (*renumbered 1-20*) are allowed.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim **4**, Miller et al. (U.S. 6,421,707) teaches a method for delivering messages of at least two different content types through a message service center.

Miller et al. fails to teach the message service center determining a first condition defining the content type or types of a message and/or a maximum size of a message to be sent directly to a terminal, checking this first condition, and either directly sending the message or informing the terminal about the message based on the result of the check.

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claims **2, 5-9, 24, and 25**, these claims are further limiting to claim **4** and are thus also allowable over the prior art of record.

Regarding claim **12**, Miller et al. (U.S. 6,421,707) teaches a system for delivering messages of at least two different content types through a message service center. Miller et al. fails to teach the message service center determining a first condition defining the content type or types of a message and/or a maximum size of a message to be sent directly to a terminal, checking this first condition, and either directly sending the message or informing the terminal about the message based on the result of the check.

Regarding claims **13-15**, these claims are further limiting to claim **12** and are thus also allowable over the prior art of record.

Regarding claim **20**, Miller et al. (U.S. 6,421,707) teaches a message service center for receiving messages of at least two different content types. Miller et al. fails to teach application means for determining a first condition defining the content type or types of a message and/or a maximum size of a message to be sent directly to a terminal, checking this first condition, and either directly sending the message or informing the terminal about the message based on the result of the check.

Regarding claims **16, 18, and 19**, these claims are further limiting to claim **20** and are thus also allowable over the prior art of record.

Regarding claim **21**, Miller et al. (U.S. 6,421,707) teaches a mobile station for receiving messages. Miller et al. fails to teach the mobile station being configured to

determine a first condition defining the content type or types of a message and/or a maximum size of a message to be sent directly to the mobile station.

Regarding claims **26 and 27**, these claims are further limiting to claim **21** and are thus also allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moore, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am - 5:00pm).


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao can be reached at (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Moore, Jr.  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2666

mjm MM

  
**FRANK DUON**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**